Filed06/03/10 Page1 of 18 Case3:10-cv-02466-CRB Document1 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 1 Perry J. Viscounty (Bar No. 132143) 2 perry.viscounty@lw.com Andrew J. Fossum (Bar No. 250373) 3 andrew.fossum@lw.com 650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925 Telephone: (714) 540-1235 5 Facsimile: (714) 755-8290 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFOR 6 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Jennifer L. Barry (Bar No. 228066) 7 jennifer.barry@lw.com CALIFORNIA 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 8 San Diego, California 92101-3375 Telephone: (619) 236-1234 Facsimile: (619) 696-7419 E-filing 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff TRION WORLDS, INC. 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 15 TRION WORLDS, INC., a Delaware 2466 corporation. 16 COMPLAINT FOR: Plaintiff, 17 (1) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF TRADEMARK, NO FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN. PALLADIUM BOOKS, INC., a Michigan AND NO UNFAIR COMPETITION 19 corporation, (2) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT 20 Defendant. TRADEMARK REG. NO. 2,889,353 IS DESCRIPTIVE AND UNENFORCEABLE 21 22 (3) CANCELLATION OF FEDERAL **TRADEMARK REG. NOS. 2,045,806**; 23 3,036,181; AND 3,213,944 24 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 25 26 Plaintiff, Trion Worlds, Inc. ("Trion Worlds" or "Plaintiff"), for its Complaint 27 against Palladium Books, Inc. ("Palladium Books" or "Defendant"), alleges as follows:

JURISDICTION, VENUE AND INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

- 1. This is a civil action seeking declaratory relief pursuant to the Declaratory

 Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (1) of non-infringement of trademark, no false designation of origin, and no unfair competition under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114(a) and 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a); and (2) that Defendant's Registered Trademark No. 2,889,353 is descriptive, lacks secondary meaning, and is unenforceable. This action is also seeking the cancellation of Defendant's Registered Trademarks Nos. 2,045,806; 3,036,181; and 3,213,944 under 15 U.S.C. § 1064 and 15 U.S.C. § 1119. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a), this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims arising from the Lanham Act.
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts in the State of California to satisfy California's long-arm statute and Constitutional due process requirements.
- 3. Venue in this Court exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1), as Defendant resides in this District, as well as under subsection (b)(2) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged in this Complaint occurred in this District.
- 4. Pursuant to Local Rule 3-2, this action may be assigned to the San Francisco division because the events alleged herein took place in San Mateo County, or may be assigned district-wide because the Complaint involves intellectual property.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 303 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 500, Redwood City, California 94065.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant is a Michigan corporation having its principal place of business at 39074 Webb Court, Westland, Michigan 48185.

<u>FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF</u> Trion Worlds and the "Rift: Planes of Telara" MMO Game

7. Trion Worlds is a cutting edge developer of connected video games. Formed in 2006, Trion Worlds is currently developing three server-based massively multiplayer online ("MMO") games, including its highly-anticipated "Rift: Planes of Telara" fantasy game (the

5

6

8

9

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

8. The R:PoT game is still under development, and is not yet operational. When the R:PoT Game is launched, it will involve thousands of participants interacting and playing online.

- 9. In late 2009, Trion Worlds decided on the "Rift: Planes of Telara" title, as that name appropriately captured the theme and storyline of the game, which involves a rich medieval world with swordplay, dragons, and magic, that is being torn apart by mysterious dimensional rifts.
- 10. The R:PoT game is marketed under the "Rift: Planes of Telara" mark in conjunction with the "Trion Worlds" house mark.
- 11. Trion Worlds launched the official website for the R:PoT Game on April 26, 2010, amidst much media fanfare. The website contains screenshots, concept art, short videos, descriptions of the game's storyline and characters, and user forums.
- 12. Trion Worlds intends to feature its R:PoT Game at the upcoming 2010 E3 trade show, which is scheduled to be held in mid-June in Los Angeles.
- 13. Plaintiff is the owner of Application Serial Number 85/020022 for the RIFT: PLANES OF TELARA mark for "entertainment services, namely, providing online video games and computer games" in Class 41.

Palladium Books and its "Rifts" Books

- 14. Palladium Books is a Michigan-based corporation that publishes books about various "pen and paper" role-playing games, all of which take place in the same "Megaverse" and follow the same set of master rules.
- 15. According to its website, Palladium Books released a book entitled "Rifts" in 1990. The title "Rifts" apparently describes a key premise of the story: "The Earth we once knew is gone. It has joined the larger fraternity of magical worlds in the Megaverse[®] connected to countless alien worlds and dimensions via the Rifts and realities cross into one another."
- 16. The cover of the original "Rifts" book included a phrase identifying the publisher

 "Palladium Books presents:" preceding the title. Over the next few years, Palladium Books
 created several other books in the "Rifts" series which also included the same "house mark"

3 4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26

27

language and sometimes included a subtitle located beneath the "Rifts" title.

- 17. With the advent of computers and video game consoles, Palladium Books' "pen and paper" games became less relevant, and do not appear to enjoy much commercial success anymore.
- 18. Palladium Books and its RIFTS products are not well known outside of the small, insular world of "pen and paper" role-playing games, and even within that market Palladium Books and the RIFTS products do not have widespread recognition.
- 19. The RIFTS books apparently had a brief period of renewed excitement in 2003 when it was announced that Walt Disney Studios had optioned the books for a potential movie, but nothing has come of that relationship during the ensuing seven years, and there is nothing to indicate that a movie based on the RIFTS books will ever be produced.
- 20. The RIFTS books have never been adapted into a computer or video game that experienced any level of success. Apparently a "RIFTS Game Master Companion" program was released in 1995, but this software was not a game, and was actually a basic utility program that aided customers in using the "pen and paper" RIFTS books. This software was apparently pulled from the market after only a few months.
- 21. In 2005, Palladium Books apparently developed a game for the Nokia N-Gage cell phone entitled "Rifts: Promise of Power." However, by Defendant's own admission, this game was "stillborn" and not available in North America. In fact, Defendant has conceded that it "required an act of God" to find this game anywhere in North America.
- 22. Plaintiff has been unable to locate any outlet offering the "Promise of Power" cell phone game or the "Rifts Game Master Companion" software utility for purchase anywhere in the United States.
- 23. On information and belief, Defendant has never had any serious plans or negotiations with a game developer to adapt the RIFTS books into an MMO or other online or video game.
- 24. The concept of inter-dimensional "rifts" is an extremely common theme in the sci-fi and fantasy genres, and has been used in numerous books, movies, tv shows, "pen and

paper" games and video games, many of which long predate Palladium Books' use of the term.

25. Palladium Books' RIFTS mark is descriptive and lacks secondary meaning, since it merely describes the common sci-fi/fantasy storytelling element of inter-dimensional rifts that is used throughout Palladium Books' RIFTS products.

Palladium Books' Trademark Registrations

- 26. Defendant claims to be the owner of several federal registrations, including:
 - No. 2,045,806 for the RIFTS mark for "computer game software and computer game programs containing role playing games involving science fiction or fantasy adventures, or involving character generation or scenario generation" in Class 28 (registered March 18, 1997) (the "806" Registration).
 - No. 3,036,181 for the RIFTS mark for "Production of motion pictures, television programs, videotapes and DVDs involving science fiction and fantasy adventures" in Class 41 (registered December 27, 2005) (the "181" Registration).
 - No. 2,889,353 for the RIFTS mark for "Books, manuals and comic books containing role playing games involving science fiction or fantasy adventures, rules and data for playing role playing games, and novels and other books that contain such games, characters, or settings" in Class 16 (registered September 28, 2004) (the "353" Registration).
 - No. 3,213,944 for the PROMISE OF POWER mark for "Video game cartridges; video game discs; video game interactive control floor pads or mats; video game interactive remote control units; video game joysticks; video game machines for use with televisions; video game software; video game tape cassettes" in Class 9 and "games and toys, namely, role playing and fantasy games; collectible card games; trading card games; action figure games; board games; game materials, namely, game equipment, instruction and game tip manuals; toy action figures and accessories

6 7

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

therefor; toy miniature figures; toy armor; toy weapons; toy vehicles; electrical and mechanical toys; video game machines, namely, handheld and stand alone units" in Class 28. (registered February 27, 2007) (the "944" Registration).

The Parties' Respective Products and the MMO Market

- 27. Plaintiff's "Rift: Planes of Telara" MMO game and Defendant's RIFTS series of "pen and paper" game books are highly distinct products, and the use of the term "rift" in both titles is not likely to confuse consumers.
- 28. The "Rift: Planes of Telara" game includes the unique and memorable subtitle "Planes of Telara" that allows potential customers to easily distinguish it from any of Defendant's products.
- 29. The "Rift: Planes of Telara" game is promoted on a website in conjunction with Trion Worlds' prominent house mark, and Defendant's books generally appear to either have a statement reading "Palladium Books Presents:" on the cover or the Palladium Books logo. The consistent and conspicuous use of house marks by both parties clearly distinguishes their respective products.
- 30. Video game enthusiasts generally refer to MMO games using acronyms. For example, one of the most popular MMO games, "World of Warcraft," is often referred to as "WoW." Similarly, gamers have already begun to refer to the upcoming "Rift: Planes of Telara" game as "R:PoT" and will likely continue to do so. Defendant offers no products with the "R:PoT" acronym, and thus consumers are unlikely to confuse the parties' respective products.
- 31. Plaintiff's R:PoT Game is an MMO game that involves cutting-edge graphics, thousands of people interacting with each other, and is played on a computer. In contrast, Defendant's RIFTS "pen and paper" games are played a few people by sitting around a table, rolling dice, and talking about characters.
- 32. The R:PoT Game takes place in the imaginary world of "Telara" and involves interactions with elves, monsters, and swordplay. Defendant's RIFTS series of books takes place in a future, post-apocalyptic version of earth, and involves interactions with robots, cyborgs, and

futuristic weapons.
33. Consumers of MMO games are extremely sophisticated and knowledgeable about
them, and it is not uncommon for consumers to commit hundreds or even thousands of hours per
year playing to build their status in the online world.
34. Given the considerable amount of time expended, consumers exercise a high
degree of care in selecting which games they subscribe to. Entire websites are devoted to the
topic and gamers usually actively participate in forums and chatrooms to discuss games in detail.
35. Consequently, MMO game users are extremely unlikely to purchase or use a
game they are unfamiliar with and have not researched, and would never mistake Plaintiff's
cutting-edge MMO video game for Defendant's "pen and paper" game books.
Palladium Books' Prosecution of the '353 Registration
The '353 Registration is Not Incontestable
36. On October 23, 2003 Palladium Books filed a trademark application which
eventually matured into the '353 Registration on September 28, 2004.
37. According to the USPTO records available as of June 3, 2010, Palladium Books
had not yet satisfied the requirements under 15 U.S.C. § 1065 to obtain incontestable status for
that registration.
Palladium Books' Prosecution of the '806 Registration
The '806 Registration was Obtained Through Fraud
38. On March 4, 1996, Palladium Books filed a use-based application to register
RIFTS for "computer game software and programs containing role playing games involving
science fiction or fantasy adventures, or involving character generation or scenario generation"
in Class 9. The '806 Registration claimed a first use date of May 31, 1995.
39. In response to an office action, Palladium Books amended the statement of goods
to "computer game software and computer game programs containing role playing games
involving science fiction or fantasy adventures, or involving character generation or scenario
generation" in Class 28.

40.

As specimens to support the underlying application for the '806 Registration,

Palladium Books filed copies of disk labels, a page from an instructional manual, and a license terms sheet for a program called RIFTS GAME MASTER COMPANION.

- 41. By and through its application, response to the office action, and submitted specimens, Palladium Books represented to the USPTO that it was using the mark in commerce on or in connection with "computer game software and computer game programs containing role playing games involving science fiction or fantasy adventures, or involving character generation or scenario generation" and that the adopted statement of goods accurately described the submitted specimens. Palladium Books made those representations to induce the USPTO to issue the '806 Registration. Those representations were material to the issuance of the '806 Registration, and on information and belief, the USPTO relied on those representations in issuing the '806 Registration under Registration Number 2,045,806 on March 18, 1997.
- 42. By its own admission on its website at http://www.palladium-megaverse.com/cuttingroom/rgmc/rgmc2.html, the goods listed in, and the specimens used to support the '806 Registration were not actually in use at the time the application for the '806 Registration was filed. Specifically, the text in http://www.palladium-megaverse.com/cuttingroom/rgmc/rgmc2.html states "The [RIFTS GAME MASTER COMPANION] software made it to the market but only lasted a few months before it was pulled due to bugs." Further, based on the user manual for the RIFTS GAME MASTER COMPANION available on the Palladium Books website, the RIFTS GAME MASTER COMPANION cannot accurately be described as "computer game software" or a "computer game program."
- 43. Thus, Palladium Books' representations to the USPTO that it was using the mark in commerce on or in connection with "computer game software" or "computer game programs" and that the adopted statement of goods accurately described the submitted specimens were false, Palladium Books knew those representations were false when they were made, and Palladium Books made those representations with the intent to deceive the USPTO.

The '806 Registration was Maintained Through Fraud

44. On February 18, 2003, Palladium Books filed its Combined Declaration of Use

- and Incontestability under Sections 8 and 15 (the "8 & 15 Declaration") to maintain its '806 Registration. In the 8 & 15 Declaration, Palladium Books made verified statements about its use of the '806 Registration on all of the goods listed in the application and subsequent registration. Specifically, Palladium Books stated, "The owner has used the mark in commerce for five consecutive years after the date of registration, or the date of publication under Section 12(c), and is still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with all goods and/or services listed in the existing registration."
- 45. As specimens to support the 8 & 15 Declaration, Palladium Books submitted images described as "JPEG image of RIFTS Role-Playing Game book from www.palladiumbooks.com catalog." On information and belief, the submitted specimens depicted a book released in or about 1990, not "computer game software" or "computer game programs."
- 46. By and through its 8 & 15 Declaration and accompanying submitted specimens, Palladium Books represented to the USPTO that it had used the mark in commerce for five consecutive years after the date of registration, or the date of publication under Section 12(c), and was still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with all goods and/or services listed in the '806 Registration and that the submitted specimens evidenced that use. Palladium Books made those representations to induce the USPTO to allow Palladium Books to maintain the '806 Registration and to accept Palladium Books' affidavit under 15 U.S.C. § 1065(3). Those representations were material to the maintenance of the '806 Registration and acceptance of the affidavit under 15 U.S.C. § 1065(3), and on information and belief, the USPTO relied on those representations in allowing Palladium Books to maintain the '806 Registration and accept the affidavit under 15 U.S.C. § 1065(3).
- 47. By its own admission as explained in Paragraph 42 above, Palladium Books "pulled [the RIFTS GAME MASTER COMPANION] from the market" shortly after launching the program in 1995, and even setting aside when it was "pulled from the market," the specimen submitted did not support the application for the '806 Registration in the first instance. As explained above, the specimens submitted with Palladium Books' 8 & 15 Declaration depict

48. Based on the foregoing, Palladium Books' representations to the USPTO that it had used the mark in commerce for five consecutive years on or in connection with all goods and/or services listed in the '806 Registration, that it was still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with those goods and/or services, and that the specimens submitted evidenced that use were false, Palladium Books knew those representations were false when they were made, and Palladium Books made those representations with the intent to deceive the USPTO.

The '806 Registration was Renewed Through Fraud

- 49. On February 12, 2007, Palladium Books filed its Combined Declaration of Use In Commerce & Application For Renewal of Registration Under Sections 8 and 9 (the "Renewal Declaration") to renew the '806 Registration. The Renewal Declaration specifically states, "... the owner, or its related company, is using the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods and/or services identified [in the '806 Registration] as evidenced by the attached specimen(s) showing the mark as used in commerce."
- 50. As a specimen to support the Renewal Declaration, Palladium Books submitted an image described as a "Digital image of RIFTS game book." On information and belief, the submitted specimen depicted a book released in or about 2005, not "computer game software" or "computer game programs."
- 51. By and through its Renewal Declaration and accompanying submitted specimen, Palladium Books represented to the USPTO that it was still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with all goods and/or services listed in the '806 Registration and that the submitted specimen evidenced that use. Palladium Books made those representations to induce the USPTO to renew the '806 Registration. Those representations were material to the renewal of the '806 Registration, and on information and belief, the USPTO relied on those representations in renewing the '806 Registration.

- 52. As explained above in, Palladium Books had not previously used the mark in commerce on or in connection with all goods and/or services listed in the '806 Registration. Also as explained above, the specimen submitted with Palladium Books' Renewal Declaration depicts a book, not "computer game software" or "computer game programs." On information and belief, during the time period leading up to and at the filing of the Renewal Declaration, Palladium Books had not used and was not using the mark in commerce on or in connection with any other goods and/or services fitting the description listed in the '806 Registration.
- 53. Based on the foregoing, Palladium Books' representations to the USPTO that it was using the mark in commerce on or in connection with all goods and/or services listed in the '806 Registration and that the specimen submitted evidenced that use were false, Palladium Books knew those representations were false when they were made, and Palladium Books made those representations with the intent to deceive the USPTO.

The Prosecution of the '181 Registration

The '181 Registration was Obtained Through Fraud

- 54. On July 9, 2002, Palladium Books filed an intent-to-use based application to register **RIFTS** for "production of motion pictures, television programs, videotapes and DVDs involving science fiction and fantasy adventures" in Class 41.
- 55. The USPTO published the application on January 21, 2003, and issued a notice of allowance on April 15, 2003.
- 56. Because the '181 Registration was based on an intent-to-use the mark, after the mark was published, Palladium Books was required to file a statement of use before the '181 Registration would be granted. A maximum of five (5) six-month extensions of time were available to Palladium Books.
- 57. After filing four extension requests (and after having "unintentionally" abandoned the application and later reviving it), Palladium Books filed on October 14, 2005 a Statement of Use.
- 58. In the Statement of Use, Palladium Books stated it was "using . . . the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods [services] . . ." listed in the application (production

10

9

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

of motion pictures, television programs, videotapes and DVDs involving science fiction and fantasy adventures in Class 41); and that the '181 Registration was "first used . . . at least as early as August 18, 2005" and that the mark "is still in use."

- 59. By and through its Statement of Use, accompanying declaration and specimens, Palladium Books represented to the USPTO that it had used the mark in commerce in connection with "production of motion pictures, television programs, videotapes and DVDs involving science fiction and fantasy adventures," and was still using the mark in commerce on or in connection with all the goods and/or services listed in the application, and that the submitted specimens evidenced that use. Palladium Books made those representations to induce the USPTO to allow Palladium Books to obtain the '181 Registration. Those representations were material to the issuance of the '181 Registration, and on information and belief, the USPTO relied on those representations in issuing the '181 Registration Number 3,036,181 on December 27, 2005.
- 60. Knowing its Statement of Use and supporting evidence was false, four minutes after filing the Statement of Use on October 14, 2005, Palladium Books also filed a fifth request for extension of time stating that "[a] Statement of Use is being submitted along with the Extension request as evidence that applicant believes that it has made valid use of the mark in commerce. If the USPTO finds the Statement of Use to be fatally defective, the applicant requests additional time to file an amended or substitute Statement of Use."
- 61. By its own admission in an open letter in its on-line forum at http://forums.palladium-megaverse.com, the services listed in, and the specimens used to support the '181 Registration were not actually in use at the time the Statement of Use (or application) for the '181 Registration was filed. Specifically, in the text in http://forums.palladium-megaverse.com, Palladium Books' president, Kevin Siembieda states that the video game was "stillborn" and not available in the United States. Further, Siembieda stated the RIFTS: Promise of Power game (depicted in the specimen of use) "never took off in North America. . . and would NOT be available on the mass market in the USA . . . " and that "[f]inding it anywhere in North America required an act of God."

62. Based on the foregoing, Palladium Books' representations to the USPTO that it was using the mark in commerce on or in connection with "production of motion pictures, television programs, videotapes and DVDs involving science fiction and fantasy adventures" and that the submitted specimens evidenced such use were false, Palladium Books knew those representations were false when they were made, and Palladium Books made those representations with the intent to deceive the USPTO.

The Prosecution of the '944 Registration

The '944 Registration was Obtained Through Fraud

- OF POWER for "video game cartridges; video game discs; video game interactive control floor pads or mats; video game interactive remote control units; video game joysticks; video game machines for use with televisions; video game software; videogame tape cassettes in Class 9 and games and toys, namely, role playing and fantasy games; collectible card games; trading card games; action figure games; board games; game materials, namely, game equipment, instruction and game tip manuals; toy action figures and accessories therefor; toy miniature figures; toy armor; toy weapons; toy vehicles; electrical and mechanical toys; video game machines, namely, handheld and stand alone units" in Class 28.
- 64. The USPTO published the application on September 27, 2005, and issued a notice of allowance on December 20, 2005.
- 65. Because the '944 Registration was based on an intent to use the mark, after the mark was published, Palladium Books was required to file a Statement of Use before the '944 Registration would be granted. Palladium Books filed that Statement of Use on June 19, 2006.
- 66. In the Statement of Use states Palladium Books stated it was "using. . . the mark in commerce on or in connection with the goods . . ." listed in the application, and that the '944 Registration was "first used . . . at least as early as January 1, 2006" and that the mark "is still in use," and that the mark is in use as evidenced in the specimen submitted with the Statement of Use.
 - 67. By and through its Statement of Use, accompanying declaration and specimens,

Palladium Books represented to the USPTO that it had used the mark in commerce connection with all the goods listed in the application, and that the submitted specimens evidenced that use. Palladium Books made those representations to induce the USPTO to allow Palladium Books to obtain the '944 Registration. Those representations were material to the issuance of the '944 Registration, and on information and belief, the USPTO relied on those representations in issuing the '944 Registration Number 3,213,944 on February 27, 2007.

- 68. The Statement of Use was also accompanied by a specimen of use described as a "snapshot of website advertising RIFTS: Promise of Power video game, with photo of Mark on product."
- 69. On December 15, 2006, Palladium Books submitted a specimen for the Class 28 goods, specifically an excerpt from a user guide for the game.
- 70. On information and belief, the mark in the '944 Registration was not in use for all of the goods covered in the application and notice of allowance, specifically, video game cartridges; video game discs; video game interactive control floor pads or mats; video game interactive remote control units; video game joysticks; video game machines for use with televisions; video game software; videogame tape cassettes in Class 9 and games and toys, namely, role playing and fantasy games; collectible card games; trading card games; action figure games; board games; game materials, namely, game equipment, instruction and game tip manuals; toy action figures and accessories therefor; toy miniature figures; toy armor; toy weapons; toy vehicles; electrical and mechanical toys; video game machines, namely, handheld and stand alone units in Class 28.
- 71. By its own admission in an open letter in its on-line forum at http://forums.palladium-megaverse.com, the services listed in, and the specimens used to support the '944 Registration, were not actually in use at the time the Statement of Use (or application) for the '944 Registration was filed. Specifically, in the text in http://forums.palladium-megaverse.com, Palladium Books' president, Kevin Siembieda states that the video game was "stillborn" and not available in the United States. Further, Siembieda stated the RIFTS: Promise of Power game (depicted in the specimen of use) "never took off in

North America... and would NOT be available on the mass market in the USA..." and that "[f]inding it anywhere in North America required an act of God."

72. Based on the foregoing, Palladium Books' representations to the USPTO that it was using the mark in commerce on or in connection with "video game cartridges; video game discs; video game interactive control floor pads or mats; video game interactive remote control units; video game joysticks; video game machines for use with televisions; video game software; videogame tape cassettes in Class 9 and games and toys, namely, role playing and fantasy games; collectible card games; trading card games; action figure games; board games; game materials, namely, game equipment, instruction and game tip manuals; toy action figures and accessories therefor; toy miniature figures; toy armor; toy weapons; toy vehicles; electrical and mechanical toys; video game machines, namely, handheld and stand alone units" in Class 28 and that the submitted specimens evidences such use were false, Palladium Books knew those representations were false when they were made, and Palladium Books made those representations with the intent to deceive the USPTO.

Procedural History

- 73. Palladium Books filed a complaint against Trion Worlds on May 7, 2010 in the Eastern District of Michigan alleging various trademark-related claims, including infringement of the '806, '181, and '353 Registrations.
- 74. Palladium filed an amended complaint in the Eastern District of Michigan on May 27, 2010.
- 75. Trion Worlds filed a motion to dismiss the Michigan action for lack of personal jurisdiction and improper venue, and in the alternative to transfer venue to the Northern District of California and to dismiss Palladium Books' trademark dilution claim for failure to state a claim on May 31, 2010.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201

Declaration of Noninfringement, No False Designation of Origin, and No Unfair

Competition

1	'944 Registrations, because those registrations have either been asserted against Trion Worlds
2	in litigation or may be asserted against Trion Worlds in future lawsuits or
3	opposition/cancellation proceedings.
4	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
5	WHEREFORE, Trion Worlds prays for the following relief:
6	A. For a declaratory judgment that:
7	1. Trion Worlds has not and does not infringe any of Palladium Books' rights in the
8	Marks and has not and does not engage in any false designation of origin or unfair competition
9	under 15 U.S.C. § 1114, 15 U.S.C. § 1125; and
10	2. Palladium Books' '353 Registration is merely descriptive, lacks secondary
11	meaning, and is not entitled to trademark protection under the Lanham Act or common law.
12	B. For an order canceling Defendant's Registered Trademarks Nos. 2,045,806;
13	3,036,181; and 3,213,944 under 15 U.S.C. § 1064 and 15 U.S.C. § 1119.
14	C. For costs;
15	D. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.
16	Dated: June 3, 2010 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
17	By: Jennifer L. Barry
18	By: Jennifer L. Barry
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff
20	TRION WORLDS, INC.
21	JURY DEMAND
22	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 3-6,
23	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.
24	Dated: June 3, 2010 LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
25	By: Jennifer L. Barry
26	By:
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff
28	TRION WORLDS, INC.